- 1 Q Did you mention this patch?
- A He asked me -- My recollection is he asked me,
- 3 "How can you put this studio on the air from here?"
- 4 Q So, it is conceivable that you did mention the
- 5 patch panel?
- A It's not conceivable -- My recollection is I said,
- 7 "You have to throw a switch in the transmitter room."
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A The transmitter room, being the AM transmitter.
- 10 Q That is what you think. But are you sure that is
- what you told him, that it was the AM transmitter in that
- 12 room?
- 13 A I can't say whether I said the AM transmitter room
- or the transmitter room.
- Q Okay.
- 16 A But the transmitter room is not the same as the
- 17 transmitter site.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me interrupt here. Did you
- demonstrate to the FCC inspector how this was done?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you show him --
- THE WITNESS: He didn't ask.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- where the AM transmitter was
- 24 housed in the ten feet down the hall?
- THE WITNESS: I -- No. I don't think I showed him

- the transmitter. He didn't ask.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me just --
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I may have confused him. I,
- 4 obviously confused him, because he's reported it
- 5 erroneously.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When you were with the FCC
- inspector, you strictly answered what he asked, and you did
- 8 not volunteer anything?
- 9 THE WITNESS: That's good advice when you're with
- an FCC inspector, I think.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Is that good advice when you are
- in a hearing?
- THE WITNESS: I suspect so, yes.
- 14 BY MR. ARONOWITZ:
- 15 Q I believe you just told us a few moments ago that
- you informed the FCC inspector that there was equipment at
- 17 Liberty such that WJUX was capable of generating programming
- 18 from that site. Is that correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Did you actually try that equipment?
- 21 A Try what equipment?
- 22 Q To try to identify that it was capable of
- 23 generating programming.
- 24 A I know it's capable, because we've used it
- ourselves. Ourselves, being VOS.

- 1 O Who is we?
- A You're talking the board, the microphones, the
- 3 tape recorders -- Yes. They all work.
- 4 Q But if I understand you correctly, you told the
- inspector that there was equipment at Liberty that would
- 6 allow programming on WJUX to be originated from that studio
- and transmitted from the WJUX transmitter. Is that correct?
- 8 Did you ever do that?
- 9 A I have not done it, no.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A But it's done by George Spicka.
- 12 Q Was it done by George Spicka prior to the time you
- met with the inspector?
- 14 A No.
- 2 So, when you told the inspector that, did you have
- any personal knowledge of whether that equipment actually
- 17 worked?
- 18 A No. No personal knowledge.
- 19 Q When you met with the inspector, did you ever
- 20 inform the inspector at that time that WJUX was operating at
- 21 reduced power?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Have you spoken to anybody about your testimony
- that you are giving today, other than Ms. Schmeltzer?
- 25 A Yes. At lunchtime.

- A I had a sandwich with the counsel for Monticello
- 3 Mountaintop, my employer.
- O Okay. Have you had conversations regarding
- 5 substantive matters relating to this proceeding with anyone
- 6 other than your counsel and other than things like travel
- arrangements, and other than with Mr. Weis, your employer?
- 8 MS. SCHMELTZER: During what period of time?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Since the deposition.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Since the deposition, I discussed it
- with my personal counsel. I discussed it with the counsel
- 14 for my employer, Monticello Mountaintop. I've discussed it
- with my wife, who is always curious.
- BY MR. ARONOWITZ:
- 17 Q Did you have any discussions with Ms. Montana?
- 18 A About her testimony or my testimony?
- 19 O No. Either.
- 20 A No. I've had -- We've had discussions about the
- 21 proceeding, obviously.
- 22 Q But nothing about your testimony?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you broaden it? Did
- you have discussions with Ms. Montana about the FCC
- 25 inspection?

- THE WITNESS: No. Well, yes, actually. Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What was that about?
- 3 THE WITNESS: I was talking with her about his
- 4 statement as reported to me that when he got to the station,
- 5 he found no receptionist. And had to walk down to the
- 6 VOS -- I don't have his report here in front of me. And I
- asked Carol, "When he came in the door, you know, couldn't
- 8 he have seen you sitting in your office?" Because from the
- 9 door, as you look over to Carol's office -- I mean, she
- normally keeps a pretty good idea on who, you know, walks
- 11 in.
- I don't necessarily want people to come in walking
- in the station and wandering around. And Carol is up there.
- And she said, "Well, if I had my back turned to the computer
- 15 terminal" -- and I actually tested this out. I looked. She
- cannot see the door, and you cannot see her in her room.
- 17 So, it is possible. And I was asking her, "Is it possible
- that he could have gotten in without you seeing him or
- 19 without seeing you?" And yes. The answer is that you can,
- 20 cause I've tested this out myself.
- But she said to me that he came over very shortly
- thereafter, and leaned in the door and began talking with
- her. So, that's the substance of my conversation with
- 24 Carol. And that was just a couple days ago.

25

- 1 BY MR. ARONOWITZ:
- Q Have you seen the FCC inspector's report?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q Do you know how you got a hold of that?
- 5 A It's in the papers which I got a copy of -- I'm
- 6 not sure if it's this packet.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You are leafing through your
- 8 deposition?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Pardon?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Is that your deposition?
- 11 THE WITNESS: I was sent up a copy from Mr. Riley
- of all of the papers that were sent in here. And I read
- them. He asked me to review them, and I read them.
- And the FCC inspector's report is in that. And I
- had discussions with Riley about that. And in particular,
- about the inaccuracy of the statement of having to go to the
- transmitter site to make the change.
- BY MR. ARONOWITZ:
- 19 Q So you reviewed this prior to your testimony?
- 20 A Yes. I mean, I pointed it out to him. I said,
- 21 "That's not right."
- 22 Q Do you recall any other documents you might have
- seen in connection with this proceeding? Just the packet?
- A I don't have the packet with me, but whatever he
- sent up to me, I read it all.

- 1 Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Weis
- 2 regarding your testimony?
- 3 A No.
- Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Turro --
- 5 A Oh. Mr. Weis. No. Weis or Turro. No.
- 6 Q Neither?
- 7 A No. The lawyer.
- 8 Q Mr. Naftalin?
- 9 A No.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: I have no more, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Helmick?
- MR. HELMICK: Yes. One brief question, Your
- 13 Honor.
- 14 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 15 Q Mr. Blabey, when we were talking this morning, you
- would actually use the term full service station. I do not
- understand you to be using that term as it is used by
- Arbitron. But you referred to WVOS as being a full service
- 19 station. And you mentioned that they do the local community
- outreach, anniversaries, birthdays, lost pets, that sort of
- 21 thing.
- When you were describing your use of full service,
- were you meaning to give it the definition that Arbitron
- does, or were you using it in a reference to a local
- 25 service --

- A Absolutely. Absolutely. That is a definition, as
- I said, I think, this morning. That is a definition in the
- industry. And it goes to the heart of a programming
- 4 concept, which is as I say, now is in decline,
- 5 unfortunately, in my view.
- And that is, that stations used to try to reach
- 7 the broadest spectrum of people possible. They were
- 8 broadcasters. Now the market has focused on narrowcasting,
- 9 so that you have a target demographic of 18 to 24, or
- 10 females 24 to 36, or whatever. And to reach target
- demographics on a very narrowly focused basis, you have to
- find a format that is very consistent, and stick to that
- format in a very -- almost scientific way.
- 14 Full service, by its nature -- In the old days,
- stations would have blocks for children's programming, for
- religion, for farm, for women's service programming, news,
- music of various categories. You know, you would have
- 18 dinner music at supper time and afternoon music. That's
- 19 gone by the boards pretty much.
- 20 So, full service refers to those old line stations
- in the industry that still broadcast, rather than narrow
- 22 cast. And the full service is the full spectrum. And
- that's what I meant this morning, and that's what I mean
- 24 now.
- 25 MR. HELMICK: Thank you. No further questions,

- 1 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Naftalin?
- MR. NAFTALIN: No questions, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I have one thing I forgot to ask
- 5 this morning.
- 6 You mentioned that VOS pulled something off a
- 7 satellite?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What do you pull off a
- 10 satellite?
- 11 THE WITNESS: We have the ABC Information Network.
- We're an affiliate. So, I take ABC news on the hour, sports
- programming. At night between midnight and 5:30 in the
- morning, I take a program out of Los Angeles called, "After
- Midnight", which is off the satellite and is produced on the
- west coast, which is network programming. And occasionally,
- for example, when Garth Brooks had his --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Central Park --
- 19 THE WITNESS: Right. We will do a network
- 20 broadcast of the CMA awards or things like that. That will
- come via satellite. The rest of the time, we are local and
- 22 we are live. And that too, is becoming rare in small
- 23 markets.
- 24 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Any questioning that is
- 25 evolved from that?

- 1 MR. NAFTALIN: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Let me excuse you now.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I am going to instruct you not
- 5 to talk about your testimony, any of my questions, any of
- the lawyer's questions and any of your answers with anybody.
- 7 Especially, not Ms. Montana, because there is a remote
- 8 possibility that you might have to come back or be
- 9 questioned some more, maybe by speaker phone. I do not
- anticipate it, but there is the possibility. And as long as
- that exists, I would rather have nobody talk to anybody.
- Don't talk to your wife about it either, because she might
- 13 talk to somebody.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Fair enough. I did not talk
- about Carol's testimony yesterday with counsel or with
- 16 Carol. And so, I have no knowledge of anything she said.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Well, she has the same
- instructions. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, thank you.
- 20 JUDGE STEINBERG: I appreciate it. Let's go off
- 21 the record now.
- (Witness excused.)
- 23 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. We are ready to go back
- on the record.

- 1 Mr. Howard Warshaw has taken the witness stand.
- 2 Let me swear you in.
- 3 Whereupon,
- 4 HOWARD WARSHAW
- 5 having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein
- and was examined and testified as follows:
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: Please state your name and
- 8 address for the record.
- 9 THE WITNESS: My name is Howard Warshaw.
- 10 W-A-R-S-H-A-W.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Now, let me just observe
- that the only thing that I see in the record that has Mr.
- Warshaw's signature on it is Page 48 of Bureau Exhibit 2.
- 14 Mr. Naftalin, I think you requested Mr. Warshaw?
- MR. NAFTALIN: That is correct, Your Honor.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: Did Mr. Riley request Mr.
- 17 Warshaw?
- MR. RILEY: No, I did not.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So --
- 20 MR. RILEY: I do not believe I did. I am sure I
- 21 did not.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, at least somebody did,
- because if nobody did then, we have him down here for
- 24 nothing.
- MR. NAFTALIN: I did, Your Honor.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Are you going to do direct
- examination of Mr. Warshaw as an adverse witness?
- MR. NAFTALIN: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. I just want to make sure.
- 5 I should have asked at the admissions session.
- MR. NAFTALIN: It is actually in our direct case...
- 7 I stated that in our direct case.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: I had forgotten. That was a
- 9 whole two weeks ago?
- 10 MR. NAFTALIN: At least. No, more than that.
- 11 Actually, almost a month ago.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You cannot expect me to remember
- anything longer than that. Okay, just as everybody knows.
- 14 Inasmuch as Mr. Warshaw is an adverse witness, and you are
- 15 going to develop his interests?
- MR. NAFTALIN: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You can lead as much as you
- want. And if Mr. Riley wants to examine, I guess he can.
- 19 Then we will do cross. But, we will get to that when we
- 20 come to it.
- 21 So, let me turn it over to Mr. Naftalin.
- MR. HELMICK: Well, there is one other --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, sir?
- 24 MR. HELMICK: -- observation, Your Honor. You can
- 25 go with this where you want. It seems to be a double-edged

- 1 sword. I want to remind Your Honor, Your Honor made a
- 2 ruling early on this case during the discovery proceeding,
- that financial and economic concerns of WVNJ was not
- 4 relevant to this proceeding. Now, if they want to ask
- 5 questions on that, I will either object or let it go, as
- long as I feel that it may be appropriate. I just wanted to
- 7 remind you that.
- 8 MR. NAFTALIN: Your Honor, I have no intention of
- 9 quizzing Mr. Warshaw about the details of the operations of
- 10 WVNJ or its finances. Early on, I would like to ask a
- couple of quick questions about his view of whether Jukebox
- Radio is a competitor or not. But just general.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Right. But that would go to
- 14 another matter.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Of course. And that is what I
- said. It will not be in any kind of scrutiny on this.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Let's see where we go.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Naftalin?
- 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 22 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Warshaw, and thank you for
- 23 coming to testify.
- Let me just go through a few terms that will
- probably come up over and over again. Some shorthand terms,

- during your examination, so we know we are talking about the
- 2 same things at the same time.
- So, for purposes of this examination, Mr. Warshaw,
- 4 will you agree that if we refer to the Ft. Lee translator,
- 5 it will mean translator station W276AQ in Ft. Lee, New
- 6 Jersey license to Gerard A. Turro?
- 7 A I can't remember the W24A6Q without referring --
- 8 Q Would you take my representation, sir, that that
- 9 is the correct call sign?
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Thank you. And similarly, if we refer to the
- 12 Pomona translator, we will be referring to translator
- station W232AL in Pomona, New York. And please accept my
- 14 representation that that is the right call sign, Mr.
- Warshaw. And it too, is licensed to Gerard A. Turro.
- Would you agree that we can call that the Pomona
- 17 translator?
- 18 A Yeah. But I can't remember which is which.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: One is Ft. Lee and one is
- Pomona.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. But not with the --
- MR. NAFTALIN: I want to do this, so we do not
- have to refer to call signs.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- MR. NAFTALIN: I can just say Pomona translator,

- that means Pomona. I can say Ft. Lee translator, that means
- 2 Ft. Lee. That is why I am going through this exercise.
- THE WITNESS: I'll try to remember that.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Okay. If you forget, just let me
- 5 know.
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- 7 Q And if we refer to the Monticello station, Mr.
- 8 Warshaw, will you agree that we will be meaning FM radio
- 9 station WJUX licensed to Monticello, New York, formerly
- known as WXTM, which is licensed to Monticello Mountaintop
- Broadcasting, Inc.?
- 12 A Okay.
- 13 Q Thank you. If we refer to the Dumont studio, we
- will be referring to the program production studio of
- Jukebox Radio located in Dumont, New Jersey. Is that all
- 16 right with you, sir?
- 17 A Okay. I'll --
- 18 Q You have to say yes or no. The Court Reporter
- 19 cannot pick it up.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Mr. Warshaw, if we refer to the microwave or the
- 22 microwave station, we will be referring to the now defunct
- 23 microwave station, which had the call sign WMJ499. Let me
- assure, sir, on my representation, that is the correct call
- sign, which has been licensed to Mr. Turro and a transmit

- point at the Dumont studio and at the Ft. Lee translator.
- 2 So, if we refer to the microwave, that is what we will be
- 3 referring to. Is that all right with you?
- A Okay. You're going to refer to it as the
- 5 microwave, and not by its number?
- 6 Q Correct. Then, we do not juggle call signs.
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 Q Finally, if we refer to Universal, Mr. Warshaw,
- 9 will we understand that that is Universal Broadcasting of
- New York, Inc., the licensee of WVNJ Oakland, New Jersey?
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q Thank you. Mr. Warshaw, you are a shareholder of
- Universal, aren't you, sir?
- 14 A I am.
- 15 Q In fact, you own nearly 50 percent of the voting
- shares of Universal. Is that correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Your wife owns nearly 50 percent of the voting
- shares, as well. Is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Both of you are actively involved in the
- management and operations of WVNJ?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And you are there regularly in the activities of
- 25 the station?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 You have the authority to hire and fire employees,
- and hire and fire lawyers, engineers and consultants?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O Isn't it true that you view Jukebox Radio as
- 6 causing competitive harm to Station WVNJ?
- 7 A Competitive harm?
- 8 O Yes, Mr. Warshaw.
- 9 A Well, the fact that they compete with us costs us
- 10 money. Yes.
- 11 Q Isn't it also true, sir, that you would like
- 12 Jukebox Radio shut down?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O In fact, Universal, through its counsel, filed a
- 15 Complaint with the FCC dated February 15, 1995 to further
- 16 your interests in having Jukebox Radio shut down?
- 17 A Yes.
- 28 Q And you have also undertaken various other
- activities at the FCC with a mind towards having Jukebox
- 20 Radio shut down?
- 21 A I don't know what activities you're referring.
- 22 Q I can be more specific. You have had your counsel
- 23 file, in furtherance of that Complaint, other materials with
- the FCC in an effort to have Jukebox Radio shut down?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you have also authorized your counsel to lobby
- 2 members of the FCC staff in furtherance of your interests to
- 3 have Jukebox Radio shut down?
- 4 A I wouldn't say that.
- 5 Q You have not had your counsel lobby any members of
- 6 the FCC staff in furtherance --
- 7 A No.
- 8 O How about in furtherance of the February 15, 1995
- 9 Complaint?
- 10 A How about it?
- 11 Q Did you have your counsel lobby anyone there?
- 12 A Lobbying?
- 13 Q In favor of that Complaint. Talk to them, try and
- 14 get them to act on it.
- A Oh, yes.
- 16 Q You have had your counsel speak with members of
- the FCC staff to try and further your interests in having
- 18 Jukebox Radio shut down?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And you, yourself, have spoken to members of the
- FCC staff in furtherance of your interests in having Jukebox
- 22 Radio shut down?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Mr. Warshaw, isn't it true that in approximately
- late 1994 or early 1995, you became very concerned about the

- operations of Jukebox Radio or Mr. Turro's Ft. Lee
- 2 translator and that microwave station?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And at some point, again, during that late 1994 or
- 5 early 1995 time frame, didn't you personally go out
- 6 somewhere in the vicinity of Ft. Lee, New Jersey or Dumont,
- 7 New Jersey with some kind of radio, and actually listen in
- 8 on that microwave --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Let me finish. On that microwave frequency?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Thank you. And you heard, or you believe you
- heard Jukebox Radio programming on the microwave frequency.
- 14 Didn't you?
- A Yes.
- Q And upon hearing or thinking you were hearing
- Jukebox Radio programming on the microwave frequency, this
- 18 convinced you that Jukebox Radio or Mr. Turro were in
- 19 violation of the FCC's rules?
- 20 A The program I heard was from the Dumont studio.
- Okay? It wasn't from Jukebox Radio.
- Q Okay. Was it your understanding the nature of the
- audio you heard on the microwave, though, was Jukebox Radio
- 24 programming, as that is commonly called?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q When you heard this audio material on the
- 2 microwave frequency --
- 3 A Yeah.
- 4 Q -- did this convince you personally that the
- Jukebox Radio programming was being originated at the Dumont
- studio and provided directly to the Ft. Lee transmitter?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Now, at no time did you personally go to the Ft.
- 9 Lee translator and its equipment yourself?
- 10 A I did not.
- 11 Q To your knowledge, no one under your direction,
- has ever done the same?
- A To my knowledge?
- 14 Q To your knowledge.
- 15 A Yes. Terry Dalton went up to that building.
- 16 Q Did Mr. Dalton go inside the building, enter an
- enclosure and examine the Jukebox Radio transmission
- equipment, electronics, that sort of thing?
- A No. No. Only the antennas were examined and
- 20 photographed.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you identify Terry
- 22 Dalton, please?
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- Q Who is Terry Dalton?
- A Terry Dalton was a engineer -- not a consultant,

- but a hands-on engineer that worked for us for a time when
- we first started with Cohen, Dippell & Everist.
- Q Okay.
- 4 A And he was from Delaware.
- 5 O So, he was not a regular employee of WVNJ?
- A He was a consultant. He got paid for what he did.
- 7 He was not on the regular payroll.
- 8 O He was a consulting engineer of some sort?
- 9 A He wasn't an engineer, really. He was like
- somebody you would have every day at the station, only he
- was in Delaware. So, we didn't have him every day.
- 12 Q Okay. Before you became concerned about Mr. Turro
- and Jukebox Radio in late 1994 and early 1995, you had had
- dealings with the consultant engineering firm of Cohen,
- Dippell & Everist. Isn't that right?
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 Q That was a firm that was known to you personally?
- 18 A No. It was recommended to us by counsel.
- 19 Q Had they been performing some kind of engineering
- 20 functions for WVNJ?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Is that how you happened to know them?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Had you communicated with any of the engineers at
- Cohen, Dippell & Everist personally, by January of 1995?

- 1 A Oh, sure.
- 2 Q Did you speak personally with Wilson LaFolette of
- 3 that firm?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q In roughly in January of 1995, is it correct that
- 6 you decided to ask the firm of Cohen, Dippell & Everist to
- 7 look into your concerns about Jukebox Radio and Mr. Turro?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Did you speak to Mr. LaFolette personally about
- that subject?
- 11 A No.
- Q Who did you speak to about that subject?
- 13 A Mr. Guill and Mr. Everist
- Q Okay.
- A And when Guill came up, he brought LaFolette with
- 16 him.
- 2 So, you communicated with Mr. LaFolette for the
- first time when they came to look into the matter?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Isn't it true, Mr. Warshaw, that you asked the
- 21 engineers at Cohen, Dippell & Everist, to prove that Jukebox
- Radio programming was being provided directly from the
- 23 Dumont studio at Ft. Lee?
- 24 A I asked them to prove that they were doing that --
- 25 that we already had information to that extent, and we

- wanted them to go ahead and prove the same thing. Yes.
- 2 Q You asked Cohen, Dippell & Everist to prove that
- 3 Jukebox Radio programming was being originated at the Dumont
- studio and sent directly over the microwave to the Ft. Lee
- 5 transmitter?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Thank you. Isn't it also true, Mr. Warshaw, that
- 8 you, in the same context, asked Cohen, Dippell & Everist to
- 9 provide back-up for your belief that this activity was going
- 10 on?
- 11 A I don't see the distinction.
- 12 Q Would you agree that you asked them to provide
- back-up to your belief that the FCC's rules were being
- violated by program origination at the Dumont studio and
- being sent on the microwave to Ft. Lee?
- 16 A I showed them what we did, and asked them to form
- their own test. From what we had accomplished, and asked to
- do their own test. I didn't tell them what to do.
- 19 Q You did ask them to prove this matter?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q I use the word back-up, because it is a word you
- 22 used in your deposition. Do you dispute that you were
- likely to have used that word in your deposition?
- 24 A It's possible.
- Q Did Cohen, Dippell & Everist come to New Jersey on

- 1 February 2, 1995 and conduct some kind of an investigation
- on your behalf?
- 3 A I don't think so. I think that that was the date
- 4 they reported it.
- 5 Q Well, I do not need the exact date.
- A I don't know the exact date. But my recollection
- 7 is that is the date of their report.
- 8 Q Is it fair to say somewhere right toward the end
- of January or early February, they came up to New Jersey and
- looked into the matter you were concerned about?
- A It wasn't a long time between the time that they
- came up, and the time that they gave us the report.
- 13 Q That is fine, Mr. Warshaw.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me just interrupt and say,
- please, Mr. Warshaw, wait for him to finish the question,
- even though you know where it is going. It just makes it
- easier when we all read this later. And Mr. Naftalin, wait
- for Mr. Warshaw to finish answering.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: I am sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That is okay. Everybody does
- 22 it.
- BY MR. NAFTALIN:
- Q At any rate, Cohen, Dippell & Everist came up
- approximately the time frame we were discussing, and

- conducted some kind of investigations along the lines that
- 2 you wanted. Isn't that right?
- A Well, Cohen, Dippell & Everist did not come up.
- Q Well, all right. Let me rephrase that. Thank you
- for catching me, Mr. Warshaw.
- 6 Engineers from the firm of Cohen, Dippell &
- 7 Everist came to New Jersey and conducted investigations
- 8 along the lines you wanted. Isn't that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q You said earlier that when they were up in New
- 11 Jersey that is when you first met Mr. LaFolette. Is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A When they came up to do the investigation -- When
- Mr. Guill came up, he brought Mr. LaFolette with him.
- Q Okay. That is fine. At some point shortly after
- the engineers from Cohen, Dippell & Everist conducted the
- investigations we have just been discussing, isn't it true,
- Mr. Warshaw, that Mr. LaFolette, or perhaps one of the other
- members of Cohen, Dippell & Everist suggested to you that an
- 20 engineering assessment also be made of the Pomona
- 21 translator?
- A There was some mention of Pomona. Yes.
- 23 Q Isn't it also true, Mr. Warshaw, that Mr. Guill --
- 24 Am I pronouncing that right?
- 25 A Guill.